

**From:** [Michael Karnosh](#)  
**To:** [Robert Myers/DC/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Judy Smith/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Rose Longoria](#)  
**Cc:** [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Subject:** RE: comment on abstract for the Portland Harbor discussion at the National site assessment symposium  
**Date:** 03/29/2011 02:15 PM

---

Thanks Bob. I think that overall the abstract looks good. I would change the third sentence to read, "Six tribes have been involved with the cleanup to protect fish and other tribally important resources in the area." Other than that I have no suggested edits.

Yes, speaking for Grand Ronde I think it's fine to say the PRPs worked with us, particularly the members of the Lower Willamette Group (LWG) which includes the Port and the City of Portland.

Thanks again,

Mike

-----Original Message-----

From: Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Friday, March 25, 2011 8:03 AM  
To: Smith.Judy@epamail.epa.gov; Michael Karnosh; Rose Longoria  
Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: comment on abstract for the Portland Harbor discussion at the National site assessment symposium

How is this as an abstract? Let me know of any suggestions. Can I say the PRPs worked with you? I thought the port (at least initially) was cooperative but not sure about the last couple years.  
Thanks

This is a case study of the Portland Harbor NPL site, from a tribal perspective. The harbor has been contaminated from a number of sources and was placed on the NPL in December 2000. Six tribes exercise fishing rights within the site area. Since the onset of the cleanup, EPA, the tribes, the state and several of the PRPs have worked together and several novel approaches have been followed. For this presentation, EPA will provide background and representatives of two of the tribes involved (Grand Ronde and Yakama) will present their perspectives on the cleanup, what went right or wrong, and what lessons have been learned.